



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,955 12/29/2000		12/29/2000	Pamela A. Binns	H16-25538 8564		
128	7590 09/08/2004			EXAMINER		
		ERNATIONAL IN	SHAH, N	SHAH, NILESH R		
101 COLUN P O BOX 22		AD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	- · -	07962-2245	2127	2127		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Application No.	Applicant(s)	JA.				
Nilesh Shah 2127 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eathermore of the proper specified above is less than thing (30 seps, a ray by white the standary inflation of thing (20) stays will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified size is less than thing (30 seps, a ray by white the standary inflation of thing (20) stays will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified size is less than thing (30 seps, a ray by white the standary inflation of thing (20) stays will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified shows, the maximum station part of white part of the specified part of the standary inflation and the standary inflation and the standary inflation. If the period for reply is specified shows, the maximum standary part of shows and the standary inflation and the standary inflation and the standary inflation and the standary inflation. If the period for reply is specified shows, the maximum standary part of shows and the standary inflation and standard			09/751,955	BINNS, PAMELA A.	٧				
Period of Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estembase of time may be available used the provision of 27 PER 113(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be femely filed aller 50 Kt (the North Text From the mailing office of the communication. In the Period time may be available used the provision of 27 PER 113(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be femely filed aller 50 Kt (the North-Tis from the mailing office of the communication. In the Period for may be available to the priod of 27 PER 113(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be femely filed aller 50 Kt (the North-Tis from the mailing office of the communication of this (75) days will be considered timely. If the Period for the 19th is added to tray be available of the communication of the state of the semination of the application is the submitted due of the communication of the priod priod of the communication, which is the priod of the communication of the priod of the communication, which is presented to the communication of the priod of the communication, which is presented to the communication of the priod of the communication, which is the priod of the communication of the priod of the communication, which is the priod of the communication of the priod of the communication, which is the priod of the communication of the priod of the p	Of	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be expetially surprised the intervention of the property of time and the property of the property of the property of time and the property of the pr	•								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Experience from many be available under the precediment of 3° CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above is loss than thinty (30; days, a reply within the standardy minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thinty (30; days, a reply within the standardy minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thinty (30; days, a reply within the standardy minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thinty (30; days, a reply within the standardy minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thinty (30; days, a reply within the standardy minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thinty (30; days and a reply within the standardy minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. A standard provided the standard provided to standard provided the standard provided to standard provided the standard provided to the maintain of the standard provided the standard provided to standard provided the standard provided to standard provid			pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	s				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the processions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In no cereal, however, may a reply be timely filled after EX (6) MCRITHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the period for may be available under the procession of the communication of the comm	•		Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM	- 8-				
1)	THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for Failure to repl Any reply rece	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or reply is specified above, the maximum statuory period to ywithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commun IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ✓ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ─ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ◯ Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) ─ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ─ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ─ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ─ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ─ accepted or b) ─ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ─ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ─ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ─ All b) ─ Some * c) ─ None of: 1 ─ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 ─ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ─ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-143) ─ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) ─ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1)⊠ Resp								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)									
A) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1499 of PTO/SB/08)									
4)	close	d in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application	l .						
Solution So									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Pattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· ·	•			. 4				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•				•				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be hield in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			1		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Clain	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Pa	apers							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			er.	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some columnates have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applio								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The o	oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-1	52.				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			:				
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \[Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \[Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) \[Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) \[Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190	(a)-(d) or (f).	•				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · ·					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·		ts have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2.	Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applica						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3.	Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been recei		ge				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See th	ne attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not recei	ived.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		afarances Cited (PTO 802)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	2) Notice of Dr	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	I Date	<i>a</i>				
r aper mo(a)/mail date <u>or to, 1000, 2010.</u>	3) X Information		', — · — ·	ai Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/751,955 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-38 are presented for examination.

- 2. Applicant cites an appendix throughout the specification (i.e. page 32 par 3.2). As per section 608.05(a) of the MPEP, applicant is limited to what can be placed in an appendix. Accepted materials for an appendix include a computer program with a limited number of lines.
- If applicant feels current materials in appendix is needed in order to make invention work then applicant is advised to place material within formal drawings.
- 4. Finally, any changes to current appendix would require entire specification to be update.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - a. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2127

- 6. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atlas et al ('Slack Stealing Job Admission Control) in view of Anderson et al (5, 448, 735) (hereinafter Anderson).
- 7. Atlas et al and Anderson et al were cited in the last office action.
- As per claim 1 Atlas teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a multitasking system executing real-time harmonic and dynamic tasks, a method of scheduling tasks comprising (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37); assigning priority levels to tasks (page 4 lines 36-37); determining available slack for tasks at each priority level (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37); and allocating slack to tasks in order of priority (page 4 lines 36-37).
- 9. Atlas does not specifically teach the determinating step taking into account a task that is inactivating.
 Anderson teaches the use of taking into account tasks that are activating and inactivating (Fig. 17, col. 24 lines 4-15).
- 10. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Atlas and Anderson to ensure that a task can be activated or deactivated at anytime. By being able to activated or

Application/Control Number: 09/751,955

Art Unit: 2127

deactivated a task the user can determine and use excess slack thus making the entire system more efficient.

- 11. As per claim 2, Atlas teaches a method wherein tasks are scheduled according to a rate monotonic algorithm (page 7, lines 6-10, 29-33, page 8 lines 8-15).
- As per claim 3 Atlas teaches a method wherein a periodic high priority task can steal slack from available slack without impacting an execution deadline of a periodic low priority task (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37).
- 13. As per claim 4, Atlas teaches a method wherein determining available slack comprises:

determining slack consumed(page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37);

determining timeline slack (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37); determining reclaimed slack (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37) and

determining idle time (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37).

14. As per claim 5, Atlas teaches a method wherein determining timeline slack comprises maintaining a table that is recalculated (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,955

Art Unit: 2127

Anderson teaches the use of taking into account tasks that are activating and inactivating (Fig. 17, col. 24 lines 4-15).

- 15. As per claim 6, Atlas teaches a method wherein determining available slack comprises maintaining accumulators for slack consumed, reclaimed slack, and idle time (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37).
- As per claim 7 Atlas teaches a method wherein tasks have periods, and wherein maintaining the accumulators comprises updating the accumulators upon the occurrence of an event from the group consisting of when crossing a period boundary (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37) when a task completes for period when executing on a fixed budget with slack to be reclaimed (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37); when a processor executing the tasks transitions from idle to busy (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37); when a task completes for period when executing on slack (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37); and prior to calculating available slack for a new slack-consuming task (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37).
- 17. As per claim 8, Atlas teaches a method wherein determining available slack comprises predecrementing accumulators to allow for overhead associated with allocating slack (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,955 Page 6

Art Unit: 2127

18. As per claim 9 Atlas and Anderson do not specifically teach a method wherein the multitasking system is a flight control system (page 2 lines 45-47, page 4 lines 19, 23-24, 36-37). However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have included a flight control system in Atlas and Anderson's system because it will increase the field of use for Anderson and Atlas's system.

- 19. Claim 10 is rejected based on same rejections as stated in claim 1 above.
- 20. Claims 11-19 are rejected based on same rejections as stated in claims 1-9 respectfully.
- 21. Claim 20 is rejected based on same rejections as stated in claims 1 and 4 above.
- 22. Claims 21-28 are rejected based on same rejections as stated in claims 1, 4, 2, 5-9 respectfully.
- 23. Claims 29-37 are rejected based on same rejections as stated in claims 1-9 respectfully.
- As per claim 38, Anderson teaches a method wherein the multitasking system is a real-time control system (page one lines 40-44).

Response to Arguments

- 25. Applicant arguments filed on 6/14/04 regarding claims 1-38 has been considered but they aren't persuasive.
- 26. In remarks applicant states (a) prima facia case of obviousness has not established.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,955

Art Unit: 2127

Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicants argument: As per (a) the main goal of both inventions is to improve the overall efficiency of the two systems.

Anderson teaches the need for an efficient means for task organization (col. 1 lines 24-29,col. 2 lines 51-55, and col. 3 lines 15-22). Atlas teaches the need an efficient system (page 2 lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Atlas and Anderson to ensure that a task can be activated or deactivated at anytime. By being able to activated or deactivated a task the user can determine and use excess slack thus making the entire system more efficient.

Conclusion

28. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2127

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nilesh R Shah whose telephone number is 703-305-8105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NS August 23, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100